

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/700,777 | 11/03/2003 | Glen Van Datta | 450133-04879 | 5521 |
| 20999 7590 01/22/2009 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG | | | EXAMINER | |
| 745 FIFTH AV | ENUE- 10TH FL. | | VU, VII | T DUY |
| NEW YORK, NY 10151 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2454 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/22/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/700 777 DATTA, GLEN VAN Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Viet Vu 2454 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 August 2006. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 03 November 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/05.

Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/700,777

Art Unit: 2454

 Applicant is requested to provide serial numbers of related application cited in page 5 of the specification.

Art Rejections:

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Traversat</u>, U.S. pat. Appl. Pub. No. 2002/0143855.

Per claim 1, <u>Traversat</u> discloses a peer-to-peer relay network comprising:

a) a plurality of N peer systems wherein each peer system in said peer-to-peer relay network is connected to a number of other peer systems in said peer-to-peer relay network that is less than or equal to a connection limit, said connection limit is greater than or equal to 2 (i.e., each peer connects to at least two other peers) (see par. 108, 116);

Application/Control Number: 10/700,777
Art Unit: 2454

- b) at least one peer system is a participant who is configured to generate data to be used at other peer systems (see par. 109);
- c) at least one peer system is a spectator who is configured to relay data generated by a participant (<u>see par. 112</u>) wherein data is relayed or routed according to one or more relaying/routing/accessing rules/policies (<u>see par. 304</u>).

 $\underline{\text{Traversat}}$ does not teach explicitly teach that the connection limit for each peer node is N-2 or less.

It is however noted that <u>Traversat</u> teaches using a relay peer node to allow a peer node to reach other non-connectable nodes. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that there are two or more non-connectable peer nodes in the system the connection limit for each peer node would have been N-2 or less.

Per claims 2-8, $\underline{\text{Traversat}}$'s teachings encompass all claim limitations.

Per claims 9-20, <u>Traversat</u> teaches applying one or more access privileges to determine if the relay peer node would allow access or fulfill a relay request from a peer system (<u>see</u> par. 456).

Art Unit: 2454

Conclusion:

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3977. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00am to 4:00pm. The Group general information number is 571-272-2100. The Group fax number is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn, can be reached on 571-272-1915.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Viet Vu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2454 1/16/09